## **REMARKS / ARGUMENTS**

The action by the Examiner in this application, together with the references cited, has been given careful consideration. Following such consideration, claim 1 has been amended to define more clearly the patentable invention Applicant believes is disclosed herein. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the claims in their present form, together with the following comments, and allow the application.

As the Examiner well knows, the present invention is directed to a sanitizable float valve apparatus. The valve apparatus includes a valve body defining a valve cavity. A fluid inlet and a fluid outlet are formed in the valve body and both are in fluid communication with the valve cavity. The fluid inlet is disposed in the valve body above the valve cavity. The fluid outlet is disposed in the valve body and extends radially from the valve cavity. A closing element is disposed in the valve body. The closing element and valve cavity are dimensioned to create an *allowance* between the valve cavity and the closing element.

During operation of the present invention, fluid enters the valve body through the fluid inlet. A majority of the fluid flowing through the valve body exits through the fluid outlet when the closing element is in an open position. A small portion of the fluid flowing through the valve body exits through the allowance between the closing element and the valve cavity. In this respect, *only a small portion* of the fluid flowing through the valve element is used to flow over the closing element to remove any impurities thereon.

In response to the Examiner's rejections, the claim 1 has been amended to define more clearly the patentable invention Applicant believes is disclosed herein. In this respect, claim 1 has been amended to define that "a part of the fluid exiting said valve exits through said

allowance and a majority of said fluid exiting said valve exits through said fluid outlet (8) when

said valve is open." In other words, amended claim 1 defines that fluid exits the valve through

two paths when the valve is open, i.e., a portion of the fluid exits through the allowance and

majority of the fluid exits through the fluid outlet. It is respectfully submitted that the cited

reference does not disclose a structure as described in the claims in their present form.

Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Great Britain

Patent No. GB 595,100 to Abey. The '100 patent to Abey discloses a float valve comprising a

body 3, a closing element 15 placed into the body and a float connected to a lever arm 7 for

controlling the closing of the closing element. As shown in FIGS. 1, 2 and 3 of the '100 patent

to Abey, the valve body has a single inlet, i.e., bore 6, and a single outlet 2. In this respect, all of

the fluid flowing through the valve element passes through bore 6, the valve cavity, over the

closing element and out through outlet 2. The '100 patent to Abey does not teach, suggest or

show a structure "wherein a part of a fluid exiting said valve exits through said allowance and a

majority of said fluid exiting said valve exits through said fluid outlet (8) when said valve is

open" as presently defined in claim 1 of the present invention.

Claims 2-5 depend from claim 1 and should be allowed for at least the same reasons

stated above for claim 1.

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

'100 patent to Abey in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,793,654 to Bierman.

The '654 patent to Bierman discloses a float valve made of PTFE. Applicant respectfully

submits that the '654 patent to Bierman does not disclose the deficiencies noted above regarding

the '100 patent to Abey.

5

Application No. 10/552,884 Response dated February 5, 2008

OUTSTANDING OFFICE ACTION dated December 18, 2007

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 1 is

patentable over the cited references. Furthermore, the remaining claims depend from

independent claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are likewise

patentable over the cited references for at least the reasons set forth above in connection with

independent claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in

proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes there are any further matters which

need to be discussed in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, the Examiner

is invited to contact the undersigned.

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, please charge such

fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0537, referencing our Docket No. ST9175PCT(US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 5, 2008

Mark Kusner

Registration No. 31,115

**KUSNER & JAFFE** 

Highland Place – Suite 310

6151 Wilson Mills Road

Highland Heights, Ohio 44143

(440) 684-1090 (phone)

(440) 684-1095 (fax)

MK/CAJ/lc

6